Abū Jaʿfar Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Salāma ibn Salama ibn ʿAbd al-Malik al-Azdī al-Ḥajrī al-Āajrī al-Āa

A student of his maternal uncle al-Muzanī, Abū Ja'far first followed the Shāfi'ī School until al-Muzanī's death in 264, after which he focused on three Cairene ḥadīth Masters of superlative Religion and Fiqh, all of them Ḥanafīs: the Qāḍī of Shām, Kūfa, and Karkh (Baghdād) Abū Khāzim 'Abd al-Ḥamīd ibn 'Abd al-ʿAzīz al-Sakūnī al-Baṣrī (d. 292), the saintly Qāḍī of all Egypt Abū Bakrah Bakkār ibn Qutayba al-Baṣrī (d. 270), and his successor the Qāḍī of all Egypt Abū Ja'far Aḥmad ibn Abī 'Imrān Mūsā ibn 'Īsā al-Baghdādī (d. 280) whom al-Ṭaḥāwī frequented for twenty years and who was probably the decisive reason behind his switching to the Ḥanafī School. After this, al-Ṭaḥāwī said, he dreamt of al-Muzanī complaining to him: "Abū Ja'far robbed me of you, Abū Ja'far!" However, the claim that the reason for his adoption of the Ḥanafī School was that "he had seen his uncle and teacher turning to the works of Ḥanafī Scholars to resolve many thorny issues of fiqh etc." is most likely untrue since al-Muzanī's Mukhtasar and his other works are replete with refutations of the Hanafī School.

Ibn Ḥajar discussed the categories of ḥadīth Mastership (hifz) in his biographical notice on Ibn Rāfi' al-Salāmī (d. 774) and said, "In truth, Ibn Rāfi' is closer to the definition of hifz by the standards of Ahl $al-had\bar{\imath}th$ than Ibn Kathīr. Ibn Rāfi' focussed on short-chained narrations, short treatises, dates of death, and hadīth auditions more than Ibn Kathīr. The latter is closer to the definition of hifz by the standards of the $Fuqah\bar{a}$ ', due to his great familiarity with the juristic and Qur'anic commentary texts, than Ibn Rāfi'. The two of them would make up an accomplished $H\bar{a}fiz$ but few are those that attained such a level after the early period, such as Ibn Khuzayma, al-Taḥāwī, Ibn Ḥibbān, al-Bayhaqī, and, in the latter period, our Shaykh, al-'Irāqī."

Among the works of al-Ṭaḥāwī:

Aḥkām al-Qur'ān, his Tafsīr, partly extant and now published in Turkey.

Akhbār Abī Hanīfa, also known as his Manāqib.

Bayān I'tiqād Ahl al-Sunna wal-Jamā 'a 'alā Madhhab Fuqahā' al-Milla Abī Ḥanīfa wa-Abī Yūsuf al-Anṣārī wa-Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan ("Exposition of the Creed of the People of the Sunna and the Congregation According to the Teachings of the Jurists of the Community, Abū Ḥanīfa and his Companions"), known as the 'Aqīda Taḥāwiyya. This is one of the most reliable concise early texts of Sunni doctrine. Among its tenets is the creed that the Twelve Imāms, the 'Itra, and the Companions – Allāh be well-pleased with them all – put together are below the level of a single Prophet: §98. "We do not prefer any of the saintly men among the Community over any of the Prophets but rather we say that any one of the Prophets is better than all the awliyā 'put together."

The *Ṭaḥāwiyya* received many commentaries. Shaykh Muḥammad al-Yaʻqūbī said the most reliable is Akmal al-Dīn al-Bābartī's, a Māturīdī commentary. Among the reliable Ashʻarī commentaries: 'Abd al-Ghanī al-Ghunaymī al-Maydānī's and al-Bājūrī's (Ashʻarī). Al-Kawtharī said:

The 'Aqīda Taḥāwiyya received several commentaries, among them that of Najm al-Dīn Abū Shujā' Bakbars al-Nāṣirī al-Baghdādī – among Sharaf al-Dīn al-Dimyāṭī's Shaykhs – that of Sirāj al-Dīn 'Umar ibn Isḥāq al-Ghaznawī al-Miṣrī, that of Maḥmūd ibn Aḥmad ibn Mas'ūd al-Qūnawī, that of Sharḥ al-Ṣadr 'Alī ibn Muhammad al-Adhra'ī and others. A commentary was published, authored by an unknown [Ibn

¹Main sources: al-Arna'ūt, introduction to his edition of al-Ṭaḥāwī's *Sharḥ Mushkil al-Āthār*, and al-Kawtharī, *al-Ḥāwī fī Sīrat al-Imām al-Ṭaḥāwī*.

²This claim, even if untrue, indicates the high rank of al-Tahāwī and his work.

³Ibn Hajar, *Inbā' al-Ghumr* (1:62).

⁴Cf. <u>therevival.co.uk/articles/aqeeda_tahawi.htm, sunnah.org/aqida/index.htm</u>, etc.

Abī al-'Izz] spuriously affiliated with the Ḥanafī school, but whose handiwork proclaims his ignorance of this discipline and the fact that he is an anthropomorphist who has lost his compass.⁵

Ikhtilāf al-Fuqahā', an unfinished masterpiece of *fiqh* erudition unfortunately lost, but its abridgment by Abū Bakr al-Rāzī al-Jassās (d. 370) is preserved in full and was published recently in Damascus.

Mukhtaṣar al-Ṭaḥāwī in Ḥanafī Fiqh, praised by the Muḥaddith 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Dihlawī in Bustān al-Muḥaddithīn as a proof of al-Ṭaḥāwī's status of Mujtahid muṭlaq and his free range of positions, some conforming to the Madhhab, some given precedence over those of the Madhhab. This work received many commentaries, the most important one being Abū Bakr al-Rāzī al-Jassās's commentary.

Al-Nakhl, a book on datepalm-trees

Naqd Kitāb al-Mudallisīn, a critique and refutation of al-Karābīsī's work in which the latter unwisely gave arguments to the enemies of hadīth. This work is lost.

Sharḥ Ma ʿānī al-Āthār, his earliest work, in which he focussed to a large extent on the jurisprudence of the Ḥanafī Imāms in his discussion of all the issues he brought up. This work is a didactic manual of tremendous use for students of jurisprudence and differences. It served as the foundation for the Mushkil where he focussed on ḥadīth and gave greater leeway to his Ijtihād. Among the commentaries the Ma ʿānī received: the Ḥāfiz ʿAbd al-Qādir al-Qurashī's [Ibn al-Turkmānī's student] al-Ḥāwī fī Takhrīj Aḥādīth al-Ṭāḥāwī and al-Badr al-ʿAynī's three commentaries: Nakhb al-Afkār fī Sharḥ Ma ʿānī al-Āthār, Mabānī al-Akhbār fī Sharh Ma ʿānī al-Āthār, and Naghm al-Akhyār fī Rijāl Ma ʿānī al-Āthār.

Sharh Mushkil al-Āthār, a large, late work, his magnum opus, published in sixteen volumes by Shaykh Shu'ayb al-Arna'ūt who describes the Imām's method as gathering two authentic but apparently contradictory hadīths in each chapter - without specific topical order or organization - and discussing the various ways in which the purported contradiction is resolved according to the principles of lexical usage, tafsīr, jurisprudence and qiyās, with special consideration for the principles in use in the Hanafī Madhhab, and – almost overcoming all other aspects – hadīth science. If the two hadīths are not of comparable strength then the stronger one is put forward and the weaker one superseded. The full title of this work is Bayān Mushkil Ahādīth Rasūlillāhi & wa-Istikhrāji mā fīhi min al-Ahkāmi wa-Nafī al-Tadāddi 'anhā ("Exposition of the Problematic Hadīths of the Messenger of Allāh &, Extraction of the Rulings Contained Therein, and Refutation of the Notion that They Show Contradiction"). The original inspiration for this genre in Islām was pioneered by Imām al-Shāfi'ī in his much smaller Ikhtilāf al-Hadīth, followed by others such as Ibn Qutayba's masterful but concise Ta'wīl Mukhtalif al-Hadīth ("The Explanation of Conflicting Narrations"), Ibn Mahdī al-Tabarī's Ta'wīl al-Aḥādīth al-Mushkalāt al-Wāridāt fīl-Sifāt, and Ibn Fūrak's Mushkil al-Hadīth. These should not be confused with the works dealing exclusively with lexical difficulties such as Ibn Sallām's Gharīb al-Hadīth, Thābit ibn Qāsim al-Andalusī al-Saraqastī al-Sharītī's (d. 314) al-Dalā'il fī Gharīb al-Ḥadīth, Ibrāhīm al-Ḥarbī's Gharīb al-Hadīth, al-Khattābī's Gharīb al-Ḥadīth, Ibn al-Athīr's al-Nihāya fi Gharīb al-Ḥadīth wal-Athar, Ibn al-Jawzī's Gharīb al-Ḥadīth, and al-Zamakhsharī's al-Fā'iq. Al-Ṭaḥāwī narrates in the Mushkil the famous hadīth in which the Prophet # rested or received revelation with his head in 'Alī's lap until sunset, after which - since 'Alī had not prayed 'Asr - the Prophet & raised his hand and supplicated until the sun moved back up from the West. Al-Ṭaḥāwī mentioned that the ḥadīth Master Aḥmad ibn Ṣāliḥ (d. 248) considered it a duty for every 'Alim to memorize this hadīth as it provides one of the proofs of Prophethood. Yet Ibn Taymiyya summarily dismissed al-Tahāwī's expertise in hadīth because of this narration, which he declared forged in his Minhāj al-Sunna al-Nabawiyya as did Ibn al-Jawzī before him. Al-Qādī 'Iyād considered it authentic and Ibn Ḥajar rejected the claim of forgery, authenticating a similar hadīth from Jābir: "The Prophet & commanded the sun which lagged back for an hour during

784 in his *Inbāʾ al-Ghumr* (1:258-260).

Narrated from Asmāʾ bint ʿUmays by al-Tabarānī in *al-Kabīr* (24:144-151 #382, 390-391 cf. Ibn Abī ʿĀṣim, *al-Sunna* 2:598 #1323) and al-Tahāwī in *Sharh Mushkil al-Āthār* (3:92-95 #1067-1068) through two 'Alawī chains cf. al-Haythamī (8:297), al-Husayn by al-Dūlābī in *al-Dhurriyyat al-Tāhira* (p. 91 #164), and 'Alī; deemed *ṣahīḥ* by al-Suyūtī after al-Qādī 'Iyād in *al-Shifā*' (p. 347-348 #684) cf. *Fayd al-Qadīr* (#7889), Ibn al-Hadhdhāʾ al-Haskānī (d. >470), Ibn Burhān al-Dīn al-Halabī, and others while Ibn al-Jawzī, Ibn Taymiyya, al-Mizzī, al-Dhahabī in the *Mīzān* and *Tartīb al-Mawdūʿāt*, and al-Qārī in *al-Maṣnūʿ* declared it forged cf. Ibn Kathīr, *Bidāya* (5:80-90), al-Nabhānī, *Hujjat Allāh* (p. 398).

⁵Al-Kawtharī, *al-Ḥāwī fī Sīrat al-Imām al-Taḥāwī* (p. 38-39). Muḥammad ibn 'Ala' al-Dīn 'Alī ibn Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Abī al-'Izz, Ṣadr al-Dīn al-Dimashqī al-Ṣāliḥī (d. 792) is unknown in the Hanafī biographical sources but is mentioned in other sources due to the affair that led to his eleven-month imprisonment from 784 to 785. Ibn 'Imād al-Ḥanbalī (d. 1089) devoted 5 lines to him in his ten-volume *Shadharāt al-Dhahab* (6:326) in which he mentions that Ibn Abī al-'Izz was the Ḥanafī judge for Damascus, then for Cairo for one month, after which he excused himself and came back to Damascus. There, he was imprisoned for a certain matter and remained incarcerated until a new governor came and gave him amnesty. The story is told by Ibn Ḥajar in the chapter for the year 784 in his *Inhā* 'al-Ghumr (1:258-260)

the day." In any case, the entirety of the Ulema concur that al-Ṭaḥāwī was a major ḥadīth Master regardless of his ruling on this ḥadīth.

Al-Shurūṭ al-Awsaṭ, al-Kabūr, and al-Ṣaghūr, in which al-Ṭaḥāwī shows his unsurpassed mastery of the science of shurūṭ or Correct Transactions.

Sunan al-Shāfi'ī, narrated from his uncle al-Muzanī from the Imām. This monograph of al-Shāfi'ī's narrations comes to us from al-Ṭaḥāwī through three of his students: Abū al-Qāsim Maymūn ibn Ḥamza al-Mu'addal; Muḥammad ibn al-Muzaffar ibn Mūsā al-Bazzār the ḥadīth Master; and Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm ibn 'Alī ibn 'Āṣim al-Muqri'. The work known as the *Musnad* of al-Shāfi'ī is different and was compiled by the trustworthy ḥadīth Master Abū al-'Abbās al-Aṣamm (247-346) from his hearing al-Rabī' ibn Sulaymān al-Murādī's narrations from the Imām in *al-Umm*. 8

Tafsīr Mutashābih al-Akhbār, mentioned by Ibn Taymiyya in Minhāj al-Sunna al-Nabawiyya.

Al-Taswiya bayna Ḥaddathanā wa Akhbaranā, showing that the two terms are identical in ḥadīth terminology.

Al-Ṭāḥāwī once said: "None imitates except a fanatic or a dolt' (*lā yuqallidu illā 'aṣabiyyun aw ghabī*). The meaning of this phrase is not absolute but applies only if three conditions are met: one is actually qualified and capable of discerning the stronger position without the shadow of a doubt and has reached certainty of its superiority yet follows the weaker position out of loyalty to his School.⁹

The author narrates by permission (ijāza) from Shaykh Muḥammad Muṭīʿ al-Ḥāfīz al-Dimashqī with his chain through Shaykh Ismāʿīl al-ʿAjlūnī the compiler of Kashf al-Khafāʾ, through Shaykh al-Islām Zakariyyā al-Anṣārī, through Shaykh Muḥyī al-Dīn Ibn ʿArabī, from Ibn ʿAsākir with a strong chain through al-Ṭaḥāwī, from Yūnus ibn ʿAbd al-Alā al-Ṣadafī, from ʿAbd Allāh ibn Wahb ibn Muslim, from ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUmar ibn Ḥafṣ, from ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Qāsim, from his father al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad, from his grandmother ʿĀʾ isha the Mother of the Believers who said: "I saw a man the Day of the Trench with the exact appearance of Diḥyat ibn Khalīfa al-Kalbī, mounted, speaking with the Messenger of Allāh in private, wearing a turban with its extremity hanging in his back. I asked the Messenger of Allāh about him and he said: 'This is Gibrīl, he ordered me to go out to the Banū Qurayza.'"10

This does *not* apply to every single imitator of a School. It would have been preferable that the editor of *Sharh Mushkil al-Āthār* clarify this distinction, but he is satisfied with quoting al-Taḥāwī's statement four times or more in his introduction without once clarifying it! Imām Zufar, for example, generally imitated Abū Ḥanīfa's positions after his death because he declared his inability to reach absolute certainty of the superiority of his finding when it contradicted that of the Imām in view of his knowledge of the latter's perspicuity.

10 Narrated by Ibn 'Asākir (5:367-368).

⁷Narrated from Jābir by al-Tabarānī in *al-Awsat* with a fair chain cf. *Fath* (6:221) and al-Haythamī (8:296). The editor of the *Mushkil* cites all the negative rulings but does not mention this. Further, his edition of the *Mushkil* is missing the words of al-Ṭaḥāwī cited by 'Iyāḍ verbatim: "These two ḥadīths [from Asmā' bint 'Umays] are firmly established as authentic and their narrators are trustworthy *(fa-hādhāni al-ḥadīthāni thābitāni wa-ruwātuhumā thiaāt*)"!

thiqāt)"! Cf. al-Dhahabī, Siyar (10:397).